

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 DAVID SPACONE, individually,  
12 and on behalf of a class of similarly  
situated individuals,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 ELMER'S PRODUCTS, INC., a  
16 Delaware corporation, and DOES 1  
through 10, inclusive,

17 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02419-AB-MRW

Hon. André Birotte Jr.

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

1 **JUDGMENT**

2 Pursuant to Plaintiff David Spacone (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Sanford,  
3 L.P.’s (erroneously sued as Elmer’s Products, Inc.) Stipulation for Entry of  
4 Judgment, the Court **HEREBY ENTERS JUDGMENT** as follows:

5 The Court hereby dismisses *with prejudice* and enters judgment on the  
6 individual claims alleged by Plaintiff in his First Amended Complaint, pursuant  
7 to the Parties’ Stipulation, and expressly subject to a preservation of Plaintiff’s  
8 right to appeal the Court’s Order on Plaintiff’s Motion for Class Certification  
9 (Dkt. No. 67).

10 As all claims have been finally adjudicated or settled, this case is fully and  
11 finally adjudicated and **FINAL JUDGMENT** is hereby ENTERED.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

13  
14  
15 Dated: \_\_September 27, 2018



16 Hon. André Birotte Jr.  
17 Judge of the United States District Court  
18 Central District of California  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28